

**National Analytical Management Program (NAMP) U.S. Department of Energy Carlsbad Field Office**

### Radiochemistry Webinars *Actinide Chemistry Series* • *Environmental Chemistry of Uranium and Plutonium*



daho National Laboratory

w Mexico State University

arlsbad



*In Cooperation with our University Partners*















#### **Meet the Presenter…**

*Brian Powell*

Dr. Brian Powell has extensive expertise with the mobility of radionuclides in soil and groundwater systems through his research in the Department of Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences at Clemson University, as well as previous work at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. He has a B.S. in Chemistry from the University of Montevallo, and a M.S. and Ph.D. in Environmental Engineering and Science from Clemson University. He holds memberships in the Association of Environmental Engineering and Science Professors, the American Geophysical Union, Sigma Xi, and the American Chemical Society.

At Clemson University, Dr. Powell teaches courses in Actinide Environmental Chemistry, Environmental Radiation Protection (Lecture and Laboratory courses), Introductory Health Physics, Geochemistry, and Geochemical Reaction Modeling. Dr. Powell's major research interest is to understand interrelated chemical, biological, and physical processes that control the fate and transport of radionuclides and trace metals in the environment. These processes include sorption by minerals, interactions with nano-colloids, complexation by organic ligands, and interactions with microorganisms. He has published over 20 refereed journal publications, 16 research reports, and made nearly 50 technical presentations on these topical areas. He has conducted sponsored research dealing with topics such as nuclear forensics, evaluation of nanoparticle behavior, sorption and environmental transport of plutonium, development of radiation detection and radiation laboratory courses, evaluation of radionuclide geochemistry in wetland and subsurface sediments, solid waste performance assessments at the Savannah River Site, measurement of thermodynamic parameters supporting advanced nuclear fuel cycle chemistry, and related topics. These projects have garnered over \$5M in research awards. The knowledge gained from this work can be used to evaluate risk posed by subsurface contamination, to design remediation strategies for contaminated sites, and to facilitate the use of safe disposal practices.



Contact: (864)656-1004 Email: BPOWELL@clemson.edu

# Part 1: Plutonium Environmental Behavior

Dr. Brian A. Powell *Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences Clemson University*



**National Analytical Management Program (NAMP) U.S. Department of Energy Carlsbad Field Office**

TRAINING AND EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE



## **Outline**

- Introduction: Brief overview of some sites
- Aqueous speciation in natural waters
	- − Complexation with inorganic and organic ligands
	- − Redox reactions
- Sorption to minerals and sediments
- Precipitation/Formation of nanoparticulates
- Colloid facilitated transport

## Introduction – Pu-contaminated Sites

- DOE facilities have significant Pu deposits as a result of weapons production
- Hanford  $-11.5$  million  $m<sup>3</sup>$  of soil with Pu-laden waste
- Savannah River Site 60 kg (1028 Ci) of 238Pu is buried as low-level waste in shallow vadose zone disposal
- Nevada Test Site approximately 2775 kg (8.3x105 Ci) of Pu (mostly 239Pu by mass) remains in the subsurface as a byproduct of underground nuclear testing





Images: <http://www.nv.doe.gov/nts/> and Powell et al., 2003

## Need for Understanding U and Pu Geochemistry – Risk Evaluation



http://picturethis.pnl.gov

## Major Reactions Influencing Pu and U Environmental Behavior

• Aqueous Complexation



Soluble UO<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub><sup>4</sup> complex. Clark et al., *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, 95 (1), 25-48

Oxidation/Reduction | | Sorption/Desorption Pu(IV)<br>HCIO<sub>n</sub>  $Pu(V)$  $P<sub>u</sub>(111)$  $P<sub>U</sub>(V)$ 

Precipitation/Dissolution



Pu(IV) "nanocluster" Soderholm *et al.* 2008, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 47, 298 –302



#### Coupling of Geochemical Reactions



## Aqueous Complexation - Outline

- Common oxidation states
- Common groundwater ions
- Hydration of the actinides
- Hydrolysis reactions
- Complexation with halides
- Complexation with oxyanions
- Complexation with natural organic matter

## Equilibrium Constants

- Given reaction:  $aA + bB \Leftrightarrow cC + dD$
- $K^{\circ}$  is the equilibrium expression under standard conditions
- $K<sup>c</sup>$  is a concentration-based equilibrium constant based on the given solution conditions
- Stability constant notation ( $\beta$ <sup>o</sup> and  $\beta$ <sup>c</sup>) used for cumulative constants

Different names for the same thing (products over reactants) Stability constant = equilibrium constant = equilibrium for a metal-complex Dissociation constant = acid/base dissociation (i.e.,  $pK_a$ ) Hydrolysis constant = metal ion hydrolysis (i.e., reaction with water/OH $\cdot$ )

$$
K^{o} = \frac{\left\{C\right\}^{c} \left\{D\right\}^{d}}{\left\{A\right\}^{a} \left\{B\right\}^{b}}
$$

$$
K^{o} = \frac{\left[C\right]^{c} \gamma^{c} \left[D\right]^{d} \gamma^{d}}{\left[1 + \gamma^{c} \left[D\right]^{d} \gamma^{d}} \right]
$$

$$
K^{o} = \frac{\left[C\right]^{c}\left[D\right]^{d}}{\left[A\right]^{a}\left[B\right]^{b}} \frac{\gamma_{c}^{c}\gamma_{d}^{d}}{\gamma_{a}^{a}\gamma_{b}^{b}}
$$

 $a_{\alpha}a_{\beta}$ <sub>D</sub>

$$
K^{o} = K^{c} \frac{\gamma_{c}^{c} \gamma_{d}^{d}}{\gamma_{a}^{a} \gamma_{b}^{b}}
$$

## Pu Oxidation States

- Under environmental conditions, Pu(III), Pu(IV), Pu(V), and Pu(VI) are expected to be stable
- Pu(IV) and Pu(V) appear to be the dominant oxidation states
- These two oxidation states represent the extremes of mobility of Pu in the environment as Pu(IV) is insoluble and relatively immobile, while Pu(V) is generally soluble and mobile



## Influence of Effective Charge

- Most processes concerned with plutonium in oxidation states III-VI
	- − Pu(III) and Pu(IV) present as free ions
	- $-$  Pu(V) and Pu(VI) present as actinyl ions PuO $_2^{\mathrm{+}}$  and PuO $_2^{\mathrm{2+}}$
- Overall effective charge of the ions does not follow formal charge (Rao and Choppin, 1984)



## General Solution Chemistry Trends

- In neutral pH (5-9) natural waters, actinide ions hydrolysis readily. Therefore, solubility is generally limited to  $<$ 10<sup>-6</sup> M, with the notable exception of pentavalent actinides
- Hydrolysis leads to  $An(OH)_4(s)$  and  $AnO_2(s)$ , which may have a colloidal character
- Other dissolved heavy elements are present at solubility concentrations of the actinides. Therefore, significant competition for chemical reactions exists (i.e. competition between Fe(III) and Pu(IV))
- Complexing ions such as carbonate, phosphate, humic substances, etc., may stabilize actinides as monomeric ions
- All the above reactions are highly dependent on the oxidation state of the actinide
- Stability of oxidation states varies for each actinide and the components within natural waters. Redox chemistry between actinides is not necessarily comparable. However…
- Chemical behavior between oxidation states is generally similar

# Actinide Hydration -  $An(H_2O)_x$

- Complexation reactions with water
- f-element salts are fairly soluble in water
- Strong ion-dipole interactions create a primary hydration sphere
- Additional hydration layers created from additional dipole-dipole interactions
- Hydration state and number is influenced by effective charge (see next slide)





Secondary region with partly oriented water





An(VI),  $N_{H2O} = 5$ *Vallet et al., 2001*

## Influence of Effective Charge on Hydration<br>Choppin and Wong, Aqu. Geochem., 4, 77-101, 1998



• Energy of hydration increases with decreasing ionic radius for a fixed charge

More negative entropy for Pu(IV) versus Pu(VI) and Pu(V) indicates increased hydration

Figure 2. Correlation between  $-S^{\circ}_{(aq)}$  and where radius r is based on coordination numbers (CN):  $CN_{Pu(V)} = 4$ ;  $CN_{Na(I), Ca(II)} = 6$ ;  $CN_{Pu(IV)} = 8$ ;  $CN_{Pu(III)} = 9$ . Adapted from Morse  $(1991).$ 

## Actinide Hydration

- Pu(III) data indicate 9-10 hydrating waters with a Pu-O coordination number of 9 or 10
- $Pu(IV) 8$  hydrating waters with an Pu-O coordination number of 8
- $Pu(V) 5$  coordinating waters with an overall Pu-O coordination number of 7 (5 waters and 2 axial oxygen atoms)
- $Pu(VI) 5$  or 6 coordinating waters with an overall coordination number of 7 or 8 (5 or 6 waters with 2 axial oxygen atoms)



An(IV),  $N_{H2O} = 8$ 



*Vallet et al., 2001*

Ref: Table 28.3 The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements, 2006

## Actinide Hydrolysis

• General reaction

 $xAn^{z+} + yH_2O \Leftrightarrow An_x(OH)_y^{xz-y} + yH^+$ 

- Occurs for all actinide ions
	- − An(IV) begins in acidic (~pH 1) solutions
	- − An(III), An(VI) begins in weakly acidic to neutral solutions
	- − An(V) begins above pH 8
- Note: reaction can be written as  $xAn^{z+} + yOH^{\vdash} \Leftrightarrow An_x(OH)_y^{xz-y}$

$$
\beta^{o^*} = \frac{\{An_x(OH)_y^{xz-y}\}}{\{An^{z+}\}^x\{OH^-\}^y}
$$

2

 $z+ \frac{1}{x}$   $\left( \frac{1}{y} \right)$ 

 $xyz - y$ **)**  $\boldsymbol{I}$   $\boldsymbol{I}$   $\boldsymbol{I}$   $+$   $\boldsymbol{I}$   $\boldsymbol{y}$ 

 $-y$  )  $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$  +

 $\{ An_x(OH)_y^{xz-y} \} \{ H^+ \}$ 

 $An_{_{{\rm x}}}(OH)^{-{\scriptscriptstyle X\!Z}-{\scriptscriptstyle Y}}_{\ {\scriptscriptstyle V}}\} \{H$ 

 $\phi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{w_x} \left( \frac{1}{w_x} \right)$ 

 $=\frac{(1+i)^{x} (0+i)}{[1+i]^2}$ 

 $\beta^{o} = \frac{1}{(An^{z+})^{x} \{H_{2}O_{i}\}}$ 

 ${A n^{z+}}^x {H_2 O}$ 

- β<sup>o</sup> and  $β^{o^*}$  are related through the water dissociation constant
- Strength of hydrolysis will follow the same trend with regard to effective charge:
	- $\ln \text{An}(IV) > \text{An}(VI) > \text{An}(III) > \text{An}(V)$

#### Comparison of Pu Hydrolysis vs Oxidation State



Table 7.55 Hydrolysis constants for plutonium jons.

<sup>a</sup> Asymmetric uncertainties  $(+0.5, -1.5)$ .

#### *The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements*, 2006

## Comparison of Pu(IV) and Pu(V) Hydrolysis

19

- Data indicate first hydrolysis of  $Pu(V)$  and  $Np(V)$  does not occur until  $pH > 9$
- Most databases report a  $log \beta_{11}$  value of -9.7 for Pu(V)



## Actinide Halide Complexes (F-, CI-, Br-, I-)

- With the exception of F<sup>-</sup>, complexes are relatively weak
- Limited data because high ligand concentrations and acidic conditions are required
- Complexation strength  $-F$  >>>  $Cl^-$  > Br > I-
- Believed F forms inner sphere complexes while Cl- , Br- , I- form outer sphere complexes
- Note: In very strong acids, anionic species may form, such as  $\mathrm{PuCl}_{6}^{-2}$
- These and similar species are extremely important for separating actinides on ion exchange resins

### Complexation with Common Inorganic Ions

General Trend: Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>< F<sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> < CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> , OH-<br>  $_{Q}$   $[ P u L_{n}]^{(x-n)} [H^{+}]$ 

 $Pu^{x+} + nHL \Leftrightarrow Pul_n^{(x-n)} + nH^+$ 

*n*  $n$  *D*,  $x$ <sup>+</sup> If *M*  $n$ 

 $=\frac{\Gamma^{k} \omega L_{n}}{\Gamma^{k}}$ 

 $P u L_{n}$ ]<sup>(x-n)</sup>[H

 $(x-n)$ ך  $\boldsymbol{L}$ 

 $(n)\Gamma L$ 



Replotted from Silva and Nitsche, Radiochim. Acta, 70/71, 1995

## Complexation with Oxo-ligands

- General trend:  $Cl^-, NO_3^- < F^-, SO_4^2-, HPO_4^2^- < CO_3^2-, OH^-$
- $NO<sub>3</sub>$  generally forms stronger complexes than Cl-
- Primarily due to bidentate binding
- Complexation with other oxo-ligands extremely important for understanding environmental behavior
- Carbonate complexes are of particular importance

 $CO<sub>2</sub>(g) \ll~SO<sub>2</sub>(aq)$  log K<sub>H</sub> = -1.47  $CO<sub>2</sub>(aq) + H<sub>2</sub>O < ->H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>(aq)$  log  $K<sub>eq</sub> = -2.70$  $H_2CO_3(aq) + H_2O \ll H_2 + HCO_3$  $HCO<sub>3</sub> << 2$  H<sup>+</sup> +  $CO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>-</sup>

 $log K_1 = -6.35$  $log K<sub>2</sub>= -10.33$ 

### Tetravalent Actinide-Carbonate Complexes

*Clark et al., Chem. Rev. 95, 1995, 25-48*





- Some uncertainty in these assignments
- Rai et al., 1999, and Zavarin et al., 2006, propose additional hydroxycarbonate species  $\rm{Pu(OH)_2(CO_3)_2}^{2-}$  and  $\rm{Pu(OH)_4(CO3)_2^{4-}}$
- Sorption data imply that Pu(IV) carbonate complexes do not significantly mobilize Pu(IV) (data discussed below)

#### Pentavalent Actinide Carbonate Species

*Clark et al., Chem. Rev. 95, 1995, 25-48*





### Pu(V)-Carbonate Speciation



• Modeled using Geochemist workbench incorporating constants from Clark et al., 1995

• Conditions:  $[Pu(V)] = 10nM$ ,  $[NaCl] = 10mM$ ,  $[CO_3^{2}] = 1mM$ 

## Naturally Occuring Ligands

- Organic materials generated as exudates by organisms and plants and byproducts of decay of organic material
- Terminology
	- Dissolved organic matter (DOM)
	- Natural Organic Matter (NOM)
- Aliphatic organics
	- − Formic acid (pKa 3.8)
	- − Acetic acid (pKa 4.8)
	- − Oxalic acid (pKa 1.3)

#### • Amino acids

- − Glycine
- − Aspartic acid
- − Common formula

#### • Saccharides

− Glucose, cellulose, lignin



## Naturally Occuring Ligands - Siderophores

- Siderophore: small "iron-chelating" compound excreted by microorganisms, fungi, and grasses to assist with iron uptake
- Current literature shows that siderophores are not as selective as once thought
- Pu(IV) and Fe(III) have similar charge to radius ratios
- Therefore, many biological processes that utilize siderophores for metal uptake may intentionally or unintentionally influence actinides
- Most commonly studied siderophore is desferrioxamine B (DFOB) because it is the only one commercially available

DFOB – polyhydroxamic acid ligand





## Pu-Desferrioxamine B Complexation



### Pu-Desferrioxamine B Complexation



## Humic Substances

• See Sposito, *The Chemistry of Soils*, for more detail

#### • Humic substances

- − Humic acid: soluble above pH 2, 1000-10000 amu
- − Fulvic acid: soluble at all pH values, 500-2000 amu
- − Humin: Insoluble fraction

#### • Various complexing functional groups

- − Carboxylic COOH
- − Phenolic OH
- $A$ mino NHR, -NH<sub>2</sub>
- − Thiol RS

#### • Model structures on following slides

## Examples of Humic Substances

(DFOB and citric acid shown for comparison)



## Modeling Actinide – NOM Binding

- Number of complexing groups and free binding sites is unknown
- Acid-base titrations can be used to calculate meq/gNOM values which can then be used to calculate protonation/deprotonation of available sites
	- − Also calculate a degree of ionization
- Charge Neutralization Model (Kim and Czerwinski, 1996)
	- − Uses an operational humic acid concentration and loading capacity

$$
M^{z+} + HA(z) \leq > MHA(z)
$$
  
LC = 
$$
\frac{[MHA(s)]_m}{[HA(z)]_{total}}
$$
  

$$
\beta = \frac{[MHA(z)]}{[M^{z+}]_{free}[HA(z)]_{free}}
$$
  

$$
\log \frac{[MHA(z)]}{[M^{z+}]_{free}} = \log[HA(z)]_{free} + \log \beta
$$

 $\left[ \text{MHA}(s) \right]_m = \text{maximum } M^{z+}$ concentration permissible for complexation with functional sites

## Humic Acid – Actinide Complexation



Figure 6.11 Complex formation constants of several actinides with humic acid as a function of the degree of ionization ( $\alpha$ ) and pH. (Reprinted from Ref. 75 with permission from the author.)

## Modeling Actinide – NOM Interactions Discrete pKa Model

Acid-base titration of Leonardite Humic Acid



**pH**

Zimmerman and Powell, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 2012, in review Similar discrete pKa models for Pu complexation with extracellular polymeric substances (Harper *et al.*, 2008) and alginic acid (Kantar *et al.*, 2005)

#### Discrete pKa Model Actinide – Humic Acid Complexation 1.00E-06



#### Zimmerman and Powell, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 2012, in review

### Actinide – Leonardite Humic Acid Stability **Constants**


# Oxidation/Reduction – Outline

- Common oxidation states
- Redox speciation in natural waters

# Plutonium Oxidation/Reduction

• Oxidation state has profound influence on Pu mobility

 $-$  Pu(IV)<sup>4+</sup> << Pu(VI)O<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> ≈ Pu(III)<sup>3+</sup> < Pu(V)O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>

Formal electrochemical potentials versus standard hydrogen electrode (Clark et al., in *The Chemistry of the Actinide Elements*, vol. 3, 2006, p. 1118)







# Pu E<sub>H</sub> - pH Diagram *GWB Modeling LLNL Database*



40

# Pu  $E_H$  – pH Diagram Open to Atmosphere *GWB Modeling LLNL Database*



41

# Actinide Speciation in J-13 Well Water

*Nitsche and Edelstein, RCA, 39, 23-33, 1985*

Solubility and Redox speciation of Pu added to J-13<br>J-13 Well Water Composition super wall water using different initial oxidation states well water using different initial oxidation states





# Pu(V) Reduction by Humic Acid

*Andre and Choppin, Radiochim. Acta, 88, 613, 2000* 



# Pu Speciation in Gorleben Groundwater *Marquardt et al., RCA, 92, 617-623, 2004*

Table 1. Physical parameters, major ion and DOC concentrations of the original GoHy-532 groundwater and the batch used here, which was stored over several months in a glove box under argon/ $1\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.





Fig. 3. Distribution of Pu(III), Pu(IV) and Pu(V) plotted versus the reaction time between Pu(V) and the GoHy-532 groundwater at different pH values obtained by CE-ICP-MS. The squares, circles and triangles represents Pu(III), Pu(IV) and Pu(V), respectively.  $[Pu] = 5 \times 10^{-5} M$ .

## Np Reduction by Quinonoid Enriched Humic Derivatives *shcherbina et al., Env. Sci. Tech., 41, 7010-7015, 2007*



<sup>a</sup> Determined by calcium acetate method (30). <sup>b</sup> Calculated as a difference between total acidity and -COOH content (30). <sup>c</sup> Cetermined as described in ref 31 using ferricyanide as an oxidant.

cic. conditions and pH 4.7,  $C_0(Np) = 5.4 \times 10^{-5}$  M,  $C_0(HS) = 500$  mg/L,  $Np(V)$ :HS ratio = 1:40. A, Effect of quinonoid monomer nature incorporated into humic structure; B, Effect of different parent HAto-monomer ratio for HQ enriched derivatives.

# Sorption/Desorption

- Overview of mineral water interface chemistry
- Quantifying sorption processes
- Sorption in binary systems
- Influence of aqueous chemistry on sorption
	- Aqueous complexation with inorganic ions
	- Redox reactions complexation on sorption
	- Complexation with organic ions
- Colloidal transport of plutonium

### Sorption Distribution Coefficients Pu<sub>sorbed</sub> Pu<sub>aqueous</sub> *k*sorption *k*desorption Pu Aqueous Conc.  $K_D = \frac{\text{Pu Sorbed Cone}}{\text{Dor A message Case}}$

**Pusorbed** Pu<sub>aqueous</sub>

Filter sample at time (t) and measure aqueous Pu concentration

$$
K_D = \left[\frac{\left[\text{Pu}\right]_0 - \left[\text{Pu}\right]_t}{\left[\text{Pu}\right]_t}\right] \frac{V}{m}
$$

 $[Pu]_o =$  initial aqueous Pu conc.  $[Pu]_t$  = aqueous Pu conc. at time t  $V =$  solution volume m = mass of solid phase

### Empirically Quantifying Sorption Processes

- Linear Distribution Coefficient,  $K_d$  $[ Pu(t)]$  $[Pu(t)]$ *solid d aqu*  $K_d = \frac{[Pu(t)]}{[P(t)]}$ *Pu t* =
	- $-\text{Typically determine } [An(t)]_{solid}$  by difference during sorption tests

$$
[Pu(t)]_{solid} = ([Pu]_{total} - [Pu(t)]_{aqu}) * \frac{Volume_{aqu}}{mass_{solids}}
$$

- Empirical Isotherms
	- $-Freundlich$   $[Pu(t)]_{solid} = K_f[Pu(t)]_{aqu}^n$

$$
-\textbf{Langmuir} \qquad [Pu(t)]_{solid} = \frac{[Pu(max)]_{solid} K_l [Pu(t)]_{aqu}}{1 + K_l [Pu(t)]_{aqu}}
$$

See second lecture in this series for a discussion of sorption models, including surface complexation modeling approaches.

### Surface Charge Versus pH



Modified from Stumm and Morgan, 1996

49

### Cation Sorption: Actinide Sorption Trends



- Sorption of actinides to goethite versus pH
	- − Unpublished data from Shanna Estes, Clemson University, 2011
- Sorption affinity follows expected trend
- $An (IV) > An (VI) > An (III) > An (V)$



### Effect of Carbonate on Pu(V) Sorption

Powell et al., LLNL-TR- 408276, 2008



- Sorption of Pu(V) to SWy-1 Na-montmorillonite after 24 hours
- Open symbols represent systems where atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>(g)$  was excluded
- System parameters: [montmorillonite] =  $10 \text{ m}^2 \text{ L}^{-1}$  (0.17 g L<sup>-1</sup>); [Pu] =  $1.2 \text{ x } 10^{-10} \text{ M}$ , initially added as Pu(IV); [NaCl] = 0.01; NaHCO<sub>3</sub>/Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> added to solutions exposed to atmospheric  $\mathrm{CO}_2(\mathrm{g})$  to speed equilibration. Total [HCO $_3$ ] at desired pH added based upon known K $_{\mathrm{HCO3}}$  and  $K_{CO3}$ , values, but limited to a maximum concentration of 0.010 M at higher pH values.

### Plutonium Reduction by Magnetite ( $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ ), pH 6.5 Powell et al., Environ. Sci. Tech., 38, 6016-6024, 2004



### Apparent Surface Mediated Reduction of Pu(V)

Sanchez, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 1985

- The shift in the sorption edge to lower pH values over time is indicative of surface mediated reduction of Pu(V) to Pu(IV)
- Similar observations from Kenny-Kennicutt and Morse (1985) and Powell et al. (2005)



### Apparent Surface Mediated Reduction of Pu(V)

Sanchez, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 1985

- The shift in the sorption edge to lower pH values over time is indicative of surface mediated reduction of Pu(V) to Pu(IV)
- Similar observations from Kenny-Kennicutt and Morse (1985) and Powell et al. (2005)



2

рH

the dissolved fractions. Results from goethite experiments initially spiked with Pu(V). Initial data points are for 1 hour after adsorption started. Error for TTA extraction is  $\pm 5\%$ .

# Numerous Observations of

### Surface Mediated Redox Reactions

### **Plutonium Interactions with Synthetic Minerals and Sediments**



**1Kenney-Kennicutt and Morse, 1985; 2Sanchez et al., 1985; 3Powell et al., 2005; 4Khasanova et al., 2007; 5Romanchuk et al., 2001; 6Powell et al., 2004, 7Shaughnessey et al., 2003; 8Powell et al., 2006; 9Kaplan et al., 2004 10Morgenstern and Choppin, 2002; 11Kaplan et al., 2007**

# Comparison of Pu and Th Sorption

Banik et al., Radiochimica Acta, 95, 569-575, 2007

- Aqueous Pu at neutral pH region was found to be Pu(V) despite having added the Pu initially as Pu(IV)
- Implies a complicated equilibrium distribution of both Pu(IV) and Pu(V) in both aqueous and solid phases



Fig. 4. Comparison of the sorption of tetravalent actinides (Pu, Th) onto kaolinite as a function of pH;  $pCO<sub>2</sub> = 10-3.5$  atm, [KGa-1b] =  $4 g/L$ , contact time = 120 h.

## Pu Sorption to Nevada Test Site Tuff

Zhao et al., Applied Geochemistry, 26, 308-318, 2011



- DOM water
- U12t tunnel has a high DOM concentration
- "U12t low DOM" is U12t water with the DOM removed



## Pu Sorption to Nevada Test Site Tuff

Zhao et al., Applied Geochemistry, 26, 308-318, 2011



 $\Omega$ ER-12-3 U12n low DOM U12n tunnel 59

# Influence of Humic Acid on Pu Sorption to

Kaolinite Buda et al., Radiochimica Acta, 96, 657-665, 2008



- Humic acid increases sorption at low pH likely due to ternary complex formation
- Humic acid decreases sorption at high pH likely due to aqueous complexation with humic acid
- Data also imply that order of addition of humic acid, Pu, and kaolinite influences the distribution

# Colloidal Transport of Contaminants



Figure 2 Comparison of generalized two- and three-phase groundwater systems. a, Two-phase; b, three-phase. The third phase in b is a colloid or microparticle, shown here with contaminant molecules sorbed to it, thus making them mobile. Colloidal material is usually chemically similar to the stationary macroparticle phase.

# Nevada Test Site

- Over 900 nuclear detonations
- Approximately 2775 kg (8.3x10 <sup>5</sup> Ci) of Pu (mostly 239Pu by mass) remains in the subsurface as a byproduct of underground nuclear testing
- Kilometer scale movement of Pu observed in field measurements (Kersting et al., 1999)



### Colloidal Pu Transport at the Nevada Test Site Kersting et al., Nature, 397, 56 -59, 1999

Figure 1 Location and geology of the study area. a, Map of the Nevada Test Site, showing the locations of all detonated underground nuclear tests. An enlarged map of the field area in Pahute Mesa is also shown, giving the location of the well cluster ER-20-5 and all other nearby underground nuclear tests. Molbo (1982) and Belmont (1986) have an announced yield between 20 and 150 kt. b, A-A' is a northsouth cross-section projecting the Benham and Tybo nuclear tests relative to the ER-20-5 well cluster. Well no. 3 is located ~30 m south of well no. 1. The Tybo test was detonated in moderately welded tuff on 14 May 1975 at a depth of 765 m and had an announced yield between 200 and 1,000 kt. Benham was denotated in zholitized bedded tuff on 19 December 1968 at a depth of 1,402 m with a nuclear yield of 1,150 kt. The working point (WP) denotes the location of the nuclear device before detonation. The radius of the cavity is a function of the nuclear yield, density of the rock type and depth of burial (distance from ground surface to WP)<sup>31</sup>. Benham has a calculated cavity radius of 98m, and Tybo between 62 and 105 m based on the unclassified range in yield.



64

### Colloidal Pu Transport at the Nevada Test Site Kersting et al., Nature, 397, 56 -59, 1999

Figure 3 Radioactivity of the colloidal minerals and comparison of Pu isotope ratios from ER-20-5 ground waters to other nuclear tests, a. Comparison of the radioactivity of the colloids collected on the different filter sizes and the ultrafiltrate fraction. Data are normalized to the total radioactivity measured in the unfiltered water. The ultrafiltrate is the water that passed through all the filters (<~7 nm). Filtration occurred in series. A tangential filtration system was used for the 100,000 nominal molecular mass ( $\sim$ 7nm) size filters. **b**, Comparison of the <sup>240</sup>Pu/<sup>239</sup>Pu isotope ratios of different samples in this study normalized to the radioactivity measured in ER-20-5 no. 1. Precision is  $\pm$  1.5%. The errors plotted are smaller than the symbols used. Results of the Pu isotopic analyses of the archived melt glass material collected from the cavity region immediately after the detonation of Benham and Tybo from both laboratories agree to within 1.5% and also match the original values measured immediately following the nuclear tests. Total laboratory procedural blanks had <2 pg Pu, significantly below the concentrations analysed and did not contribute to the isotope ratio measured. The concentrations of Pu detected in the soil samples were extremely low (<4pg) Pu per g sample), and the isotopic ratios distinctly different from the ground water. Values plotted are averages: ER-20-5 no 1,  $N = 3$ ; ER-20-5 no. 3,  $N = 2$ ; Colloids,  $N = 4$ ; Tybo,  $N = 6$ ; Benham,  $N = 12$ ; Molbo,  $N = 7$ ; Belmont,  $N = 4$ ; and soil,  $N = 2$ . Here N is the number of samples averaged.



### Organic Rich Colloids from Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site *Santschi et al., Env. Sci. Tech.,*

*36, 3711-3719, 2002; Roberts et al., Coll. Surf. A, 244, 105-111, 2004*



Fig. 4. TEM image depicting cellulose-type "crystalline" colloids (3 kDa, 0.5  $\mu$ m) from pond B4. Scale bar = 1  $\mu$ m.

### Organic Rich Colloids from Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site *Santschi et al., Env. Sci. Tech.,*

*36, 3711-3719, 2002; Roberts et al., Coll. Surf. A, 244, 105-111, 2004*



# Precipitation/Dissolution

- Expected (nano) precipitates under environmental conditions
- See webinars on "Uranium Chemistry" and "Plutonium Chemistry" for detailed discussions of many solid phases

# Modeling Pu Solubility

*Neck et al., Radiochim. Acta, 2007, 95, 193-207*



# Pu Solubility and Precipitation



Pu(IV) nanocolloids, Powell et al., 2012

Pu Solubility in 0.4M NaCl Rai *et al.*, Radiochim. Acta 2001, 89, 491

### TEM Analysis of Plutonium Oxide Particles



- Starting in 1950, observations of decreased solubility of Pu over time lead scientists to believe that  $PuO<sub>2</sub>(s)$  takes a polymeric form
- X-ray scattering data indicates nanoclusters of Pu may form from saturated LiCl solutions ([ $\rm{Pu_{38}O_{56}Cl_{54}(H_2O)_8}$ ]<sup>14-</sup>, Soderholm et al., 2008)
- TEM data (above) indicate that  $PuO<sub>2</sub>$  is comprised of aggregated  $PuO<sub>2</sub>$ nanoparticles and does not take a polymeric form (Powell et al., 2011)
- Are these nanoparticles/nanocolloids mobile?

### Pu Nanocolloids Associated with Goethite





Powell et al., Environ. Sci. Tech, 2011

# TEM Analysis of Plutonium Oxide Particles

# on Goethite

- Plutonium nanocolloids formed on goethite have undergone a lattice distortion relative to the ideal fluorite-type structure, fcc,  $PuO<sub>2</sub>$ , resulting in the formation of a bcc,  $Pu_4O_7$  structure.
- Distortion is a result of epitaxial growth of the PuO2 nanocolloid on goethite
- Implication of strong bonding of Pu to goethite



Powell et al., Environ. Sci. Tech, 2011
# Summary

- Plutonium exhibits remarkably complex chemistry owning to the sensitivity of Pu to undergo redox reactions
- Environmental mobility is influenced by a variety of factors
	- − Transport of colloidal Pu(IV) associated with natural colloids and possibly as  $PuO<sub>2</sub>$  nanocolloids
	- <sup>−</sup> Tranport of soluble Pu(V) or Pu(IV)-organic ligand complexes
- Sorption behavior and subsequent mobility is primarily controlled by complex aqueous speciation
- Understudied but significant microbial interactions are likely very important

- Andre, C., Choppin, G.R., 2000. Reduction of Pu(V) by humic acid. Radiochimica Acta, 88(9-11): 613-616.
- Boukhalfa, H., Reilly, S.D., Neu, M.P., 2007. Complexation of Pu(IV) with the natural siderophore desferrioxamine B and the redox properties of Pu(IV)(siderophore) complexes. Inorganic Chemistry, 46(3): 1018-1026.
- Choppin, G.R., Rao, L.F., 1984. Complexation of Pentavalent and Hexavalent Actinides by Fluoride. Radiochimica Acta, 37(3): 143-146.
- Choppin, G.R., Wong, P.J., 1998. The chemistry of actinide behavior in marine systems. Aquatic Geochemistry, 4(1): 77-101.
- Clark, D.L., Hobart, D.E., Neu, M.P., 1995. Actinide Carbonate Complexes and Their Importance in Actinide Environmental Chemistry. Chemical Reviews, 95(1): 25-48.
- Harper, R. M., Kantar, C., and Honeyman, B. D., 2008. Binding of Pu(IV) to galacturonic acid and extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) from Shewanella Putrefaciens, Chlostridium sp. and Pseudomonas fluorescens. Radiochim. Acta 96, 753-762.
- Kantar, C. and Honeyman, B. D., 2005. Plutonium (IV) complexation with citric and alginic acids at low Pu(T) concentrations. Radiochim. Acta 93, 757-766.

- Kaplan, D.I. et al., 2004. Influence of oxidation states on plutonium mobility during long-term transport through an unsaturated subsurface environment. Environmental Science & Technology, 38(19): 5053-5058.
- Keeney-Kennicutt, W.L., Morse, J.W., 1985. The redox chemistry of  $Pu(V)O_2^+$ interaction with common mineral surfaces in dilute solutions and seawater. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 49(12): 2577-2588.
- Kersting, A.B. et al., 1999. Migration of plutonium in ground water at the Nevada Test Site. Nature, 397(6714): 56-59.
- Khasanova, A.B., Kalmykov, S.N., Perminova, I.V., Clark, S.B., 2007. Neptunium redox behavior and sorption onto goethite and hematite in the presence of humic acids with different hydroquinone content. Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 444: 491-494.
- Kim, J.I., Czerwinski, K.R., 1996. Complexation of metal ions with humic acid: Metal ion charge neutralization model. Radiochimica Acta, 73(1): 5-10.
- Marquardt, C.M. et al., 2004. The redox behaviour of plutonium in humic rich groundwater. Radiochimica Acta, 92(9-11): 617-623.
- Morgenstern, A., Choppin, G.R., 2002. Kinetics of the oxidation of Pu(IV) by manganese dioxide. Radiochimica Acta, 90(2): 69-74.
- Nitsche, H., Edelstein, N.M., 1985. Solubilities and speciation of selected trans- uranium ions a comparison of a non-complexing solution with a groundwater from the nevada test site. Radiochimica Acta, 39(1): 23-33.

- Powell, B.A. et al., 2006. Plutonium oxidation and subsequent reduction by Mn(IV) minerals in Yucca Mountain tuff. Environ Sci Technol, 40(11): 3508- 14.
- Powell, B.A., Fjeld, R.A., Kaplan, D.I., Coates, J.T., Serkiz, S.M., 2004. Pu(V)O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> adsorption and reduction by synthetic magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>). Environmental Science & Technology, 38(22): 6016-6024.
- Powell, B.A., Fjeld, R.A., Kaplan, D.I., Coates, J.T., Serkiz, S.M., 2005.  $Pu(V)O_2^+$  adsorption and reduction by synthetic hematite and goethite. Environmental Science & Technology, 39(7): 2107-2114.
- Powell, B.A., Kersting, A.B., Zavarin, M., Zhao, P., 2008. Development of a Composite Non-Electrostatic Surface Complexation Model Describing Plutonium Sorption to Aluminosilicates. LLNL-TR-408276, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California.
- Rai, D., Gorby, Y.A., Fredrickson, J.K., Moore, D.A., Yui, M., 2002. Reductive dissolution of PuO2(am): The effect of Fe(II) and hydroquinone. Journal of Solution Chemistry, 31(6): 433-453.
- Rai, D., Moore, D.A., Felmy, A.R., Choppin, G.R., Moore, R.C., 2001. Thermodynamics of the PuO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>-Na<sup>+</sup>-OH--Cl--ClO<sub>4</sub>--H2O system: use of  $\rm NpO_2^+$  Pitzer parameters for Pu $\rm O_2^+$ . Radiochimica Acta, 89(8): 491-498.

- Roberts, K.A., Santschi, P.H., Honeyman, B.D., 2008. Pu(V) reduction and enhancement of particle-water partitioning by exopolymeric substances. Radiochimica Acta, 96: 739-745.
- Romanchuk, A.Y., Kalmykov, S.N., Aliev, R.A., 2011. Plutonium sorption onto hematite colloids at femto- and nanomolar concentrations. Radiochimica Acta, 99(3): 137-144.
- Sanchez, A.L., Murray, J.W., Sibley, T.H., 1985. The Adsorption of Plutonium-Iv and Plutonium-V on Goethite. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 49(11): 2297-2307.
- Santschi, P.H., Roberts, K.A., Guo, L.D., 2002. Organic mature of colloidal actinides transported in surface water environments. Environmental Science & Technology, 36(17): 3711-3719.
- Schofield, E.J. et al., 2008. Structure of Biogenic Uraninite Produced by Shewanella oneidensis Strain MR-1. Environmental Science & Technology, 42(21): 7898-7904.
- Shcherbina, N.S. et al., 2007. Redox and complexation interactions of neptunium(V) with quinonoid-enriched humic derivatives. Environmental Science & Technology, 41(20): 7010-7015.

- Silva, R.J., Nitsche, H., 1995. Actinide environmental chemistry. Radiochimica Acta, 70-1: 377-396.
- Soderholm, L., Almond, P.M., Skanthakumar, S., Wilson, R.E., Burns, P.C., 2008. The structure of the plutonium oxide nanocluster  $[Pu_{38}O_{56}Cl_{54}(H_2O)(8)]^{(14)}$ . Angewandte Chemie-International Edition, 47(2): 298-302.
- Sposito, G., 1989. The Chemistry of Soils, The Chemistry of Soils.
- Stumm, W., 1992. Chemistry of the Solid-Water Interface: Processes at the Mineral-Water and Particle-Water Interface in Natural Systems. Wiley Press,<br>Chicago, 428 pp.
- Vallet, V., Wahlgren, U., Schimmelpfennig, B., Szabo, Z., Grenthe, I., 2001. The mechanism for water exchange in  $U\tilde{O}_2(H_2O)_{(5)}$  (2+) and UO<sub>2</sub> (oxalate)<sub>(2)</sub> (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sup>(2-)</sup>, as studied by quantum chemical methods. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 123(48): 11999-12008.
- Wan, J.M. et al., 2005. Reoxidation of bioreduced uranium under reducing conditions. Environmental Science & Technology, 39(16): 6162-6169.
- Zavarin, M., Roberts, S.K., Hakem, N., Sawvel, A.M., Kersting, A.B., 2005. Eu(III), Sm(III), Np(V), Pu(V), and Pu(IV) sokption to calcite. Radiochimica Acta, 93(2): 93-102.
- Zimmerman and Powell, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 2012, In Review

# Future NAMP Radiochemistry **Webinars**

#### •Actinide Chemistry Series

- − Part 2: Environmental Chemistry of Uranium
- − Analytical Chemistry of Uranium and Plutonium
- − Source Preparation for Alpha Spectroscopy
- − Sample Dissolution

•Radium Chemistry

NAMP website <u>www.inl.gov/namp</u>