NAMP Young Investigators Series: Radioecology

Dawn Montgomery Phillip Lyons Delvan Neville



TRAINING AND EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE



Meet the Presenters...

Dawn Montgomery is a Ph.D. student in Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences at Clemson University working with Drs. Nicole Martinez and Brian Powell. Her academic and research emphasis is within the environmental health physics and radioecology disciplines. Currently, her research is centered on plant uptake of various radioactive contaminants and the associated role that plants have on the transport of those contaminants in the environment. Additionally, she is interested in dosimetric modeling of non-human biota and has developed several phantoms for the grass species used in her uptake experiments and stylized phantoms for an adult duck and a duckling. Montgomery earned a B.S. in Applied Mathematics from North Carolina State University in 2005. She expects to graduate in December 2019.



Contact Information: damontg@Clemson.edu Presentation: **Uptake and dosimetric modeling of** ⁹⁹**Tc**, ¹³³**Cs**, ²³⁷**Np**, **and U in a native grass**

Uptake and dosimetric modeling of ⁹⁹Tc, ¹³³Cs, ²³⁷Np, and U in a native grass

Dawn Montgomery Clemson University



National Analytical Management Program (NAMP) U.S. Department of Energy Carlsbad Field Office

TRAINING AND EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE





Soil Sorption





Baseline K_D and effects due to ligands

Montgomery et al 2017



Montgomery et al 2018

Plant-Soil Columns

Column block diagram Root structure image credit: http://science.howstuffworks .com/dictionary/plantterms/root-info.htm

Combining soil sorption and uptake

5

Nuclides of concern

- ⁹⁹Tc, ²³⁷Np, ¹³⁵Cs/¹³⁷Cs and U
 - Long-lived nuclides present in nuclear waste and potential spent fuel recycling streams
 - Expected to be mobile and potentially hazardous to human and environmental health
 - Complex biogeochemical behavior with different sorption mechanisms, redox activity, solubility, overall mobility, bioavailability, and analogous nature to plant nutrients
- Technetium(VII) as pertechnetate, TcO₄-
 - Oxyanion, very weakly complexing, highly mobile
- Cesium, Cs⁺
- Neptunium(V), NpO₂⁺
- Uranium(VI), UO₂²⁺

Plant Uptake Studies: Hydroponics & Columns



Main route followed by metal elements in plants

Metal ions are loaded into the xylem as free ions or conjugates.

Moving with water, ions are delivered to the shoot.

In the shoot, metal ions are subcellularly partitioned or detoxified.

A small portion of ions can cycled back to the root tissue.

ERS

Plant Uptake Motivation & Objectives

- Insight needed into potential plant mediated mechanisms of observed upward migration of radionuclides in soil columns.
 - *A. virginicus* is a common ground covering in the Southeastern US (and at Savannah River Site)
 - The suite of nuclides considered encompass a wide range of biogeochemical behavior
- Evaluate the propensity of Andropogon Virginicus to take up ⁹⁹Tc, ¹³³Cs (stable analog for ¹³⁷Cs), ²³⁷Np and ²³⁸U
 - Interested in the effect of plant root exudates on the uptake and mobilization of radionuclides.
 - Working towards understanding radionuclide mobilization in soils as influenced by plant root foraging activities and microbial associations.







Hydroponic Experimental Set-Up





Autoradiography of ⁹⁹Tc uptake

- Intensity positively correlated with growth time
- Root-shoot connection and/or shoot tips appeared to have higher ⁹⁹Tc content
- Seedlings/smaller plants used to investigate differences in uptake



Uptake - Concentration Ratios, ⁹⁹Tc

- TcO₄⁻ proposed to be associated with uptake mechanisms for:
 - SO₄-², MoO₄-², SeO₄-², NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, PO₄-³
- Plant part is significant for established plants
- Harvest day is significant for seedlings
- Plant age (experiment) is significant



$$CR = \frac{C_{plant \ part}}{C_{HP \ solution}}$$

ANOVA analysis P-Values

Factor	All Plants	Established	Seedlings
Plant Part	0.108	<0.001	0.763
Harvest Day	0.006	0.071	0.030
Experiment	<0.001		

Bennett and Willey, 2003; Cataldo et al., 1983; Robertson et al., 2003

Uptake - Concentration Ratios, ¹³³Cs

Concentration Ratio (L/kg)

- Analogous to K⁺
- Plant part is significant for established plants
- Harvest day is significant for seedlings
- Plant age (experiment) is significant



Harvest Day

$$CR = \frac{C_{plant \ part}}{C_{HP \ solution}}$$

ANOVA analysis P-Values

Factor	All Plants	Established	Seedlings
Plant Part	0.004	< 0.001	0.355
Harvest Day	0.075	0.738	0.007
Experiment	0.002		

Uptake - Concentration Ratios, ²³⁷Np

- No nutrient analog
- Plant part and harvest day are significant
- Plant age (experiment) is significant



Harvest Day

$$CR = \frac{C_{plant \ part}}{C_{HP \ solution}}$$

ANOVA analysis P-Values

Factor	All Plants	Established	Seedlings
Plant Part	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Harvest Day	0.001	<0.001	0.020
Experiment	< 0.001		

Uptake - Concentration Ratios, ²³⁸U

- No nutrient analog
- Plant part is significant for both
- Harvest day is significant for established plants
- Plant age (experiment) is **not** significant



$$CR = \frac{C_{plant \ part}}{C_{HP \ solution}}$$

ANOVA analysis P-Values

Factor	All Plants	Established	Seedlings
Plant Part	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Harvest Day	0.046	0.006	0.480
Experiment	0.105		

Major findings: Hydroponic Studies

- Many radionuclides are readily incorporated into plants and the partitioning appears to be related to solubility, complexation affinity and similarity to plant nutrients.
- Concentration ratios vary by radionuclide, time of exposure, and plant part.
- Roots generally have higher concentration ratios, particularly for analytes without nutrient analogs, likely due to radionuclide sorption to the root vice true uptake.
- Plant size/age seems to have an influence on uptake

Soil Column Experiments (In Progress)



Spike Introduction





Digestion & Analysis

Plant & soil segments dried

Separating roots from soil

• $\sim 100 \ \mu g \ L^{-1} \ {}^{133}Cs, \ {}^{237}Np, \ U$

until segmentation

Shoots harvested at 4 weeks

Columns covered & held at 5 °C

1 cm thick transverse segments

- Digestion/leaching (EPA 3050B)
- Analysis via ICP-MS and LSC (99Tc)



Dosimetric Model Development

Dosimetry Motivation & Objectives

- Establishment of appropriate protection standards requires sufficient knowledge of dose effects
 - Although organ-specific screening levels maybe impractical, considering only "whole body" (or above ground plant part) dose rates may not be adequately protective
- Dose determination
 - Direct measurement or robust dosimetric modeling
 - Lack of appropriate models results in occasional controversy: need refined and consistent dosimetric modelling approaches



Jim Beasley at SREL trapping and placing GPS-dosimeter collars on wild pigs (see Hinton et al 2015)

- Describe the development, application, and comparison of dosimetric models utilized in the internal dosimetry of non-human biota
- Ultimate goal of this work is to combine refined dosimetric models with models describing temporal uptake in *A. virginicus* to obtain temporal dose rates

Current methodology

- Certain reference organisms applied to similar species
 - ICRP: 12 "Reference Animals and Plants"
 - ERICA tool: about 40 reference organisms
 - RESRAD-BIOTA: 4 reference organisms
- Dose rates approximated using dose conversion factors (DCFs)
 - Absorbed dose rate per unit activity concentration (μGy d⁻¹ per Bq kg⁻¹)

• Assumptions:

- Uniform radionuclide distribution in organism or environmental media (representative conditions)
- Ellipsoidal body, spherical organs (if included)
- Decay properties of specific radionuclides
- Monte Carlo based radiation transport codes

Computational models

Incorporated into Monte Carlo based radiation transport



Model Development





Stylized Phantom



Micro-CT of plant

Voxel Phantom: 3D Doctor



Hybrid Phantom: Rhinoceros 3D

Example Non-Human Phantoms



Martinez et al., 2016 Stylized, Voxel, Hybrid Trout

Stabin et al., 2015 Hybrid Beagle Phantoms

Stylized Phantom

- Roots (3):
 - Cylindrical
 - 10 cm x 0.05 cm
 - immersed in water in a glass flask
- Shoots (3):
 - Elliptical cylinders
 - 18 cm x 0.2 cm x 0.05 cm





Voxel Phantom



Voxel Phantom

Rhinoceros 3D

Hybrid Phantom







Radiation Transport Simulation

• Monte Carlo

– MCNP/MCNPX, EGS4, GATE, GEANT 4

• MCNP

- Output is energy deposition (MeV) normalized per disintegration (*f8 tally)
- Tally energy deposition in tissues of interest
- Dose conversion factor × activity concentration = dose rate
- Absorbed fractions (most commonly reported)
- Generally need supercomputer (Palmetto Cluster)

Whole Plant DCF ICRP 108 Comparison



External DCF Phantom Comparison



Internal DCF Phantom Comparison



Greatest relative differences when source ≠ target due to root-shoot gap in stylized phantom.

Combining uptake with DCF



Ē

Dosimetric Modeling Key Points

- Detailed dosimetric models provide higher fidelity and flexibility than traditional ellipsoid models, but some limitations remain

 Looking for a <u>balance</u> between detail and resource requirements
- Normally dose rates from anthropogenic activities are low, although <u>screening values</u> have been exceeded
 - If screening values are exceeded, it may be helpful to consider a more detailed or realistic phantom
- Screening criteria are <u>not consistent</u> between countries and <u>no</u> <u>specific approach</u> exists for performing a detailed environmental impact assessment should a criteria be exceeded
- Recent international consideration has resulted in development of a <u>multi-part framework</u> for impact assessment
 - Includes undertaking progressively more refined assessments and improved models

References

- 1. Dalcorso G, Manara A, Piasentin S, Furini A. Nutrient metal elements in plants. Metallomics 6: 1770–1788; 2014. DOI:10.1039/c4mt00173g
- 2. Bennett R, Willey N. Soil availability, plant uptake and soil to plant transfer of 99Tc A review. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 65: 215–231; 2003. DOI:10.1016/S0265-931X(02)00098-X
- 3. Cataldo D a, Wildung RE, Garland TR. Root absorption and transport behavior of technetium in soybean. Plant physiology 73: 849–852; 1983. DOI:10.1104/pp.73.3.849
- 4. Robertson DE, Cataldo DA, Napier BA, Krupka KM, Sasser LB, Reed PR. Literature Review and Assessment of Plant and Animal Transfer Factors Used in Performance Assessment Modeling. Richland, WA; PNNL-14321; 2003.
- 5. Hinton TG, Byrne ME, Webster S, Beasley JC. Quantifying the spatial and temporal variation in dose from external exposure to radiation: a new tool for use on free-ranging wildlife. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 145: 58–65; 2015. DOI:10.1016/j.jenvrad.2015.03.027
- 6. Beresford N, Brown J. UPDATES TO THE ERICA TOOL VERSION RELEASED 2014; 2014. https://wiki.ceh.ac.uk/download/attachments/121737092/ERICA%20updates%20vMarch%202014v2.pdf?api=v2
- 7. ICRP. Environmental Protection: the Concept and Use of Reference Animals and Plants, ICRP Publication 108. Ann. ICRP; 2008. DOI:10.1016/j.icrp.2009.04.001
- 8. Martinez NE, Johnson TE, Pinder JE. Application of computational models to estimate organ radiation dose in rainbow trout from uptake of molybdenum-99 with comparison to iodine-131. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 151: 468–479; 2016. DOI:10.1016/j.jenvrad.2015.05.021
- 9. Zaidi H, Tsui BMW. Review of computational anthropomorphic anatomical and physiological models. Proceedings of the IEEE 97: 1938–1953; 2009. DOI:10.1109/JPROC.2009.2032852
- 10. Kinase S. Voxel-based frog phantom for internal dose evaluation. Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology 45: 1049–1052; 2008. DOI:10.1080/18811248.2008.9711891
- 11. Stabin MG, Kost SD, Segars WP, Guilmette RA. Two Realistic Beagle Models for Dose Assessment. Health Physics 109: 198–204; 2015. DOI:10.1097/HP.0000000000324
- 12. Montgomery DA, Paloni J, Martinez NE. Waterfowl-specific Computational Models fo ruse in Internal Dosimetry, in: Proceedings of the 14th International Congress of the International Radiation Protection Association. pp. 1174–1181; 2016.
- 13. Smith K, Robinson C, Jackson D, De La Cruz I, Zinger I, Avilia R. Non-human Biota Dose Assessment : Sensitivity Analysis and Knowledge Quality Assessment, Working Report 2010-69. Posiva, Eurajoki; 2010.
- 14. Jackson D, Smith K, Wood MD. Demonstrating compliance with protection objectives for non-human biota within postclosure safety cases for radioactive waste repositories. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 133: 60–68; 2014. DOI:10.1016/j.jenvrad.2013.07.005

Acknowledgements

Advisors: Drs. Nicole Martinez and Brian Powell Committee Members: Drs. Dan Kaplan and Nishanth Tharayil Nimisha Edayilam for providing plant specimens and assistance Powell & Martinez research groups

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences and Office of Biological and Environmental Research under Award Number DE-SC-00012530 (uptake and student support) as well as the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Nuclear Education Grant #NRC-HQ-13-G-38-0002 (dosimetry and faculty support).

Clemson University is acknowledged for generous allotment of compute time on Palmetto cluster.







2016-09-08 15:38:55 M 3/3

Fukushima's Wildlife

Mammalian Species in and Around the Exclusion Zone

Phillip C. Lyons^{1,2,} Thomas G. Hinton³, Kei Okuda³, Matt Hamilton^{1,2,} James C. Beasley^{1,2}

¹Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, ²Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, ³Institute of Environmental Radioactivity



\$ 🕕

68°F

UGA

Roadmap

- Background
- Study area
- Methods
- Results


Background: Nuclear Accidents

- Three Mile Island
 - March 28, 1979
 - Level 5
- Chernobyl
 - April 26, 1986
 - Level 7
- Fukushima
 - March 11, 2011
 - Level 7



Fukushima Disaster

- Great East Japan Earthquake: magnitude 8.9
- Tsunami waves >40m (133 ft)
- 4 nuclear power plants damaged
- Fukushima Daiichi: 3 reactor meltdowns





Exclusion Zone

- Created on the day of the earthquake
- Maximum radiation: 91 µSv/hr
- 1150 km²
- 170-200k people evacuated









Study Area

- 120 locations
 - 40 locations per area/zone
- 60 cameras deployed per each two-month iteration
 - Red zone: 10 upland, 10 lowland
 - Green zone: 10 upland, 10 lowland
 - Control area: 10 upland, 10 lowland



Trap Design

- Initial install dates: May 6-13, 2016
- Cameras placed ~ 60cm from base of tree along well-traveled trails
- Three-shot burst of photos per detection
- No quiet period between detections



Raw Data

- 14,400 camera days
- 268,961 images
- 1120 mean images per camera deployment
- 17 mammalian species



Detections Per Species







Analysis

- Daily presence/absence design
- Utilized the R program UNMARKED for both occupancy and abundance
- Occupancy: Mackenzie et al. 2002 methods
- Abundance: Royle and Nichols 2003 methods

Covariates

- Vegetation
- Distance to water source
- Site microseiverts per hour
- Distance to nearest road
- Human trail usage
- Zone
- Elevation



Control Green Red



Wild Boar

Sus scrofa





Wild Boar

Sus scrofa



Dependence of the second secon



Raccoon Dog

Nyctereutes procionoides





Discussion

- Occupancy higher in exclusion zone for 6 of 9 species
 - Pig, Macaque, Raccoon, Badger, Hare, Raccoon Dog)
- Abundance higher in exclusion zone for 6 of 9 species
 - Pig, Macaque, Raccoon, Civet, Fox, Hare
- Human presence may have the strongest impact on area wildlife occupancy and abundance
- Radiation exposure has minimal impact on area wildlife occupancy and abundance

Acknowledgements

- Matt Hamilton
- Dr. Tom Hinton
- Dr. Kei Okuda
- Hannah Gerke
- Dr. James Beasley



Questions?





Discount Albacore Radioecology: Hunting Cs-137 on a budget

Delvan R. Neville Oregon State University



TRAINING AND EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE



US Pacific albacore

Midori

 Primarily long-line trolling
 Fishery took off ca. 1915 @ 20,000,000 lbs/yr
 3rd largest gross \$ in Oregon Behind Dungeness crab & Pink shrimp
 Open fishery – No catch limits

2009 Troll & Pole Catch



Childers & Pease, 2012



Otsu, T. and R.N. Uchida. 1962. Model of the migration of albacore in the North Pacific Ocean. *Fishery Bulletin* 63 (1): 33- 44.



Ichinokawa et al. 2008. Transoceanic migration rates of young North Pacific albacore, Thunnus alalunga, from conventional tagging data. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 65:1681-1691.

Dried isn't enough!



No funds! What to dry ash in?



This won't do



Stainless steel?



Wear & tear & K-40



EXTREME WORKING TEMPERATURE



EXTREME WORKING TEMPERATURE



"Hottest" to date: <2 Bq/kg ww



Preliminary Results - Cs-137



Preliminary Results - Cs-137





Otsu, T. and R.N. Uchida. 1962. Model of the migration of albacore in the North Pacific Ocean. *Fishery Bulletin* 63 (1): 33- 44.

Preliminary Conclusions

- Food safety?
 –No issue
- Different populations?
 - –Larger sample size needed -> Future work–Adjust for PDO & ENSO
- Dose impact?
 - –Likely minimal, but opportunity to plan for larger oceanic release -> Future work
Dose in Pacific albacore

- ICRP Reference trout & flatfish
 - Neither perfect surrogateOfficially both ellipsoids
- Voxel models
 - -Presumed more accurate
 - **–**Time intensive





Voxel models - Variable accuracy





Imaging Albacore – Too big!



Stitch 3D medical images?



Stitch 3D medical images



Ē

References

- Childers & Pease, 2012. Summary of the 2009 and 2010 U.S.A. North and South Pacific Albacore Troll and Poleand-Line Fisheries.
- Otsu & Uchida, 1962. Model of the migration of albacore in the North Pacific Ocean. Fishery Bulletin 63(1):33-44
- Ichinokawa et al. 2008. Transoceanic migration rates of young North Pacific albacore, Thunnus alalunga, from conventional tagging data. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 65: 1681-1691
- Childers, Snyder, Kohin, 2011. Migration and behavior of juvenile North Pacific albacore (Thunnus alalunga). Fisheries Oceanography 20(3): 157-173

Acknowledgements

- Kathryn Higley
- Jason Phillips
- Oregon Sea Grant
- Newport albacore fishing community

83

Questions for our presenters??

Upcoming Webinars

- Young Investigators Series: Novel Uranium Nanostructures
- Radiopharmaceutical Series: The History of Nuclear Medicine and Radiopharmaceuticals
- Radiopharmaceutical Series: Basics of Radiochemistry for Radiopharmaceuticals/Target, Ligand, Chemistry and Radiochemistry

NAMP website http://www.wipp.energy.gov/namp/